UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

WILLIAM HELMER,

Plaintiff,

Civil No. 2:09-11697 HONORABLE PATRICK J. DUGGAN UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

v.

BRIAN GUEST and KATHLEEN SOLOMON,

Defendants,			

OPINION AND ORDER OF PARTIAL DISMISSAL AND ORDER DIRECTING SERVICE UPON THE REMAINING DEFENDANT

I. Introduction

Before the Court is Plaintiff William G. Helmer's *pro se* civil rights complaint filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff is a state prisoner currently confined at the Charles Egeler Reception and Guidance Center in Jackson, Michigan. The Court has reviewed Plaintiff's complaint and now dismisses it in part.

II. Standard of Review

In order to state a claim under 42 U.S.C.§ 1983, a plaintiff must allege that (1) he or she was deprived of a right, privilege or immunity secured by the Federal Constitution or laws of the United States, and (2) the deprivation was caused by a person acting under color of state law. Absent either element, a section 1983 claim will not lie. *Bloch v. Ribar*, 156 F.3d 673, 677 (6th Cir. 1998); *Hakken v. Washtenaw County*, 901 F. Supp.

1245, 1249 (E.D. Mich. 1995). "If a plaintiff fails to make a showing on any essential element of a § 1983 claim, it must fail." *Redding v. St. Eward*, 241 F.3d 530, 532 (6th Cir. 2001).

Under The Prison Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (PLRA), district courts are required to screen all civil cases brought by prisoners. *See McGore v. Wrigglesworth*, 114 F.3d 601, 608 (6th Cir. 1997), *overruled on other grounds by Jones v. Bock*, 549 U.S. 199, 127 S.Ct. 910 (2007). If a complaint fails to pass muster under 28 U.S.C. §1915(e)(2) or § 1915A, the "district court should *sua sponte* dismiss the complaint." *Id.* at 612. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B) and § 1915(e)(2)(A), a district court must *sua sponte* dismiss an *in forma pauperis* complaint before service on the defendant if satisfied that the action is frivolous or malicious, that it fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, or that it seeks monetary relief from a defendant or defendants who are immune from such relief. *McLittle v. O'Brien*, 974 F. Supp. 635, 636 (E.D. Mich. 1997).

III. Complaint

Plaintiff claims that defendant Guest, a sheriff's deputy, falsified a December 18, 2008, police report against him "by stating that he was in court for an attempted murder charge" against plaintiff. (Compl. ¶ 1). Plaintiff further claims that on April 13, 2009, Guest testified falsely against plaintiff at a preliminary examination in the 81st District Court concerning this attempted murder charge. Plaintiff claims that defendant Kathleen

Solomon, a prosecuting attorney, knowingly and willingly allowed Guest to testify falsely at the preliminary examination. Plaintiff claims that after the preliminary examination, he was assaulted by Guest. Plaintiff claims that he was interviewed by the Michigan State Police on April 15, 2009, regarding these actions and that they took pictures of his wounds from the assault, but that no action has been brought against the defendants.

IV. Discussion

From the face of his complaint, it remains unclear to the Court whether plaintiff intends to assert a false arrest, false imprisonment, continued detention without probable cause, or some other claim against Guest and Solomon. But while the precise nature of plaintiff's claim remains unknown, it is clear that plaintiff seeks to challenge the conduct of Guest and Solomon within the context of the criminal case that began in late 2008. Plaintiff appears to assert that, by using false information and testimony in the criminal case, Guest and Solomon violated his rights. On June 8, 2009, after plaintiff filed the present lawsuit, plaintiff was sentenced in the criminal case to 95 to 180 months for assault with intent to commit great bodily harm less than murder. See Appendix A.

Plaintiff's conviction and sentence in the criminal case bars his civil claims regarding the allegedly false information and testimony used by Guest and Solomon. Prisoners have no right to money damages for allegedly unlawful conduct leading to a conviction unless they can demonstrate that their convictions or sentences were reversed on direct appeal, expunged by executive order, invalidated by a state tribunal, or called

into question by a federal court's issuance of a writ of habeas corpus. *Heck v. Humphrey*, 512 U.S. 477, 486-87, 114 S. Ct. 2364, 2372 (1994); *see also Nelson v. Campbell*, 541 U.S. 637, 646, 124 S. Ct. 2117, 2124 (2004)("[A] § 1983 suit for damages that would 'necessarily imply' the invalidity of the fact of an inmate's conviction, or 'necessarily imply' the invalidity of the length of an inmate's sentence, is not cognizable under § 1983 unless and until the inmate obtains favorable termination of a state, or federal habeas, challenge to his conviction or sentence.").

Plaintiff has not demonstrated that his criminal charge has been invalidated by a state court or tribunal or called into question by the issuance of a writ of habeas corpus by a federal court. A judgment in plaintiff's favor in this case would necessarily imply that his continued incarceration is invalid. Therefore, the portion of the complaint involving the alleged use of false information and testimony by Guest and Solomon must be dismissed. *Heck v. Humphrey*, 512 U.S. at 487, 114 S. Ct. at 2372.¹

The Court will, however, order that service be directed against Guest regarding the alleged assault allegation. The use of excessive or unreasonable force by police officers in the exercise of their authority gives rise to a cause of action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. *See Russo v. City of Cincinnati*, 953 F.2d 1036, 1044 (6th Cir. 1992). Plaintiff's

When a plaintiff's § 1983 claims are dismissed under *Heck*, *supra*, the claims should be dismissed without prejudice. *Diehl v. Nelson*, 198 F.3d 244, 1999 WL 1045076 (6th Cir. November 12, 1999)(citing *Fottler v. United States*, 73 F.3d 1064, 1065 (10th Cir. 1996)). Thus, because this Court is dismissing this portion of plaintiff's § 1983 complaint under *Heck*, the dismissal of this claim will be without prejudice.

excessive force claim against defendant Guest is not barred by *Heck* because it does not imply the invalidity of plaintiff's criminal conviction and sentence. *See Lockett v. Suardini*, 526 F.3d 866, 873 (6th Cir. 2008).

V. ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's claim concerning the alleged use of false information and testimony in his criminal case is **DISMISSED WITHOUT**PREJUDICE pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2) and 28 U.S.C. § 1915(A).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiff's complaint be served upon defendant Brian Guest by the U.S. Marshall without prepayment of fees.

<u>s/ PATRICK J. DUGGAN</u> UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated: June 9, 2009

Copy to: William Helmer, #140677 3855 Cooper Street Jackson, MI 49201

Appendix A

pproved, SCAO			d copy	- Corr	ection	s (for return) 5th co	- Ygc	Prosecu			PAGE	1
TATE OF M 3RD JUDIC SCODA			r	RC(COMMITME	SENTENCE NT TO CORRECTION	7S	0	CAS	E NO. 35-FC	-B	
I -680025J	 _	(Court A	ddress	COUI	RTHOUSE AND BOX 399	EX		<u>-1</u>	Court Tel		26-11	10
lice Report	No.	·	,	<u> </u>	MIO	, MI 48641 							
HE PEOPLE	OF TH	e sta	TE O	F MI	CHIG	AN	WILLIAM G. \$670 WEAV COMINS, M	ARY F	IELMER DAD	, and tel	ephone i	l o.	
							CTN/TCN 680800	0268	[]	ID 787704K	DO	B 9/25/9	6
osecuting auto	rney name				Bar 1	no.	Defendant att	ornev	name			Bar	no.
OLOMON, KATH	•				3886		PAUNOVICH	•				297	
E COURT F	INDS:												
The defende	ant was	found	guil	ty on	Date	/19/09	of	the	crime	(s) stai	ced be:	low:	
CONVI	CTED BY		MISSE	ן מ							CODE		
	ourt Jury		BY*		DOM .	CRIM				citatio	ori/PAC	code	
1 2 G		- F	1P			VOIL-AGG AS			750.8 750.8				
		_			HABIT	ult harm le Tual offent	ES SWE COM	í	769.1	Ä			
2 '									702.1				
gr plea: insert ert "D" for di	ED:	guilty y court			ASSA lon ro imalb	ULT INTENT o contendere, esed by prose	TO MAIM or "MI" for g cutor/plaintif		750.8 but mer	s stally il	1.00		1;
ert "D" for di	ED: ant is a hall be	guilty y court	plea, or "r	o cus mmedi	ASSAC or nol- dismi tody ately	ULT INTENT o contendere, seed by prose of the Mic	TO MAIM or "MI" for g cutor/plaintif	tment	750.8 but men	orrection	nis Ti		11;
r plea: insert ert "D" for di IS ORDER! The defenda sentence sh	ED: ant is a hall be	guilty y court enten execu	plea, or "r ced t ted i	o cus mmedi MAX	ASSAU or nol dismi tody ately	ULT INTENT o contendere, seed by prose of the Mic	TO MAIM or "MI" for g cutor/plaintif higan Depar	tment	750.8 but men	orrects	ME TI	ie i	1;
r plea: insert ert "D" for di IS ORDER The defenda sentence sh	ED: ant is a hall be NCE Year	gvilty y court enten execu	plea, or "r ced t ted i	o cus mmedi MAX	ASSAU or nol dismi tody ately	ULT INTENT o contendere esed by prose of the Mic	TO MAIM or "MI" for g cutor/plaintif higan Depare NCE JAIL CE Mos.	tment RÉDIT	750.8 but men	orrects	PORMAT		
r plea: insert ert "D" for di IS ORDER! The defendate sentence shount DATE 2 6/08 8. Sentence	ED: ant is s hall be VCE Year /09	enten execu finimos 95 be ser	plea ; or "reced to ted i	o cus mmedi MAX Years	ASSAU or nol dismi tody ately MUM Mos. 180	or the Mic DATE SENTE BEGINS 6/08/0	TO MAIM or "MI" for g cutor/plaintif higan Depar NCE JAIL CE Mos. 9	tment REDIT Days 0 t chec	750.8 but mer	orrection	FORMAT	10	
r plea: insert ert "D" for di IS ORDER! The defendate sentence should be	ED: ant is a hall be Year /09 (a) to 1 other. ant shal	enten execu inimo s Mos. 95 be ser [X] cs	plea cor "n ced t ted i Days ved c	o cus mmedi MAX Years	ASSAU or nol dismi tody ately MUM Mos. 180	of the Mic DATE SENTE BEGINS 6/08/0 ely to (if the	TO MAIM or "MI" for general form of the cutor/plaintif form of the cutor/plaintif form of the cutor/plaintif form of the cutor of the	REDIT Days O t chec	750.8 but mer of Co	orrection	FORMA	riour 8	E .)
r plea: insert ert "D" for di IS ORDER The defendate sentence sh Count DATE 2 6/08 8. Sentence — each of the due date of the due	ED: ant is a hall be Year /09 (8) to 1 other. ant shal no court .00 SALAN ie for p	enten execu tinimo s Mos. 95 De ser l pay costs cs aymen e sub	plea, or "n ced t ted i m Days ved cose not continue to is.	o cus mmedi MAX Years consecumbers	ASSAI or nol dismi tody ately MOS. 180 cutive s GRAM	of the Mic DATE SENTE BEGINS 6/08/0 ely to (if the Mic TRAVERSE \$68.00 STATE \$60.00 CRIME	TO MAIM or "MI" for gentor/plaintif higan Depart MCE JAIL CE MOS. 9 its item is not CIRCUIT CO MINIMUM COSTS	tment REDIT Days 0 t checourt	750.8 but mer of Co	OTHER DEPARTMENT OF PERFENDENCE OF P	FORMA	THE S HE S HO	E .)
r plea: insert ert "D" for di IS ORDER! The defendate sentence shount DATE 2 6/08. 8. Sentence each of the defendate should be should	ED: ant is a hall be Year /09 (a) to i other. ant shal .00 COURT .00 BALAN ie for p date ar ommendat	enten execu ITNIM Mos. 95 De Ber [X] costs cs aymen e sub ion:	plea, or the ted in th	o cus mmedi MAX Years consecumbers 6/08	assal or nol dismi	of the Mic of the Mic DATE SENTE BEGINS 6/08/0 ely to (if the ND TRAVERSE \$68.00 STATE \$60.00 CRIME Fine, COState penalty	TO MAIM or "MI" for general form of the cutor/plaintife cutor	REDIT Days 0 t checount	750.8 but mer of Co	OTHER DEPARTMENT OF PERFENDENCE OF P	FORMA	THE S HE S HO	E .)
r plea: insert ert "D" for di IS ORDER! The defendation DATE 2 6/08 8. Sentence	ED: Ant is a hall be Year /09 (8) to b ther. Ant shal BO COURT BO SALAN Ge for p date ar mmmendat ANY BONI	enten execu trining s Mos. 95 be ser l pay costs cs aymen e sub ion: RELE	ced ted i	o cus mmedi MAX Years consecumbers 6/08 to a	ASSA(or nol- dismi tody ately Mos. 180 cutives GRAM	of the Mic DATE SENTE BEGINS 6/08/0 ely to (if the Mic ND TRAVERSE \$60.00 CRIME Fine, COST ate penalty	TO MAIM or "MI" for general for the cutor/plaintife f	REDIT Days 0 t checourt not ount	750.8 but mer of Co	orrects THER E Penting 0141 PH 02.00 PH 28.00 TO	NFORMAT	TOWN 8 HO	
r plea: insert ert "D" for di IS ORDER! The defendation DATE 2 6/08 8 Sentence	ED: ant is a hall be Year /09 (a) to bther. ant shal be court con salan e for p date ar any BONI CC	enten execu MINIMO Mos. 95 be ser [X] costs costs aymen e sub ion: RELE	plea, or "proceed to ted in Market I	o cus mmedi MAX. Years consecumbers 6/08 to a :	ASSAI or nol dismi tody ately IMUM Mos. 180 cutive GRAN 20% 1	of the Mic DATE SENTE BEGINS 6/08/0 ely to (rf t) ND TRAVERSE \$68.00 STATE \$60.00 CRIME Fine, COST ate penalt	TO MAIM or "MI" for gentor/plaintif higan Depart MOE JAIL CE MOS. GENERAL CE MOS. GURCUIT CO MINIMUM COSTS VICTIM RIGHTS HIGH AND HIGH	Days Ot checourt	750.8 but mer of Co	orrects THER E Penting 0141 PH 02.00 PH 28.00 TO	NFORMAT	TOWN 8 HO	
r plea: insert ert "D" for di IS ORDER! The defendation DATE 2 6/08 8 Sentence	ED: ant is a hall be Year /09 (a) to bther. ant shal be court con salan e for p date ar any BONI CC	enten execu MINIMO Mos. 95 be ser [X] costs costs aymen e sub ion: RELE	plea, or "proceed to ted in Market I	o cus mmedi MAX. Years consecumbers 6/08 to a :	ASSAI or nol dismi tody ately IMUM Mos. 180 cutive GRAN 20% 1	of the Mic DATE SENTE BEGINS 6/08/0 ely to (rf t) ND TRAVERSE \$68.00 STATE \$60.00 CRIME Fine, COST ate penalt	TO MAIM or "MI" for getter/plaintiff higan Depart NCE JAIL CE MOS. 1 Stem is not CIRCUIT CO MINIMUM COSTS VICTIM RIGHTS 8, and fees V on the amo	Days Ot checourt	750.8 but mer of Co	orrects THER E Penting 0141 PH 02.00 PH 28.00 TO	NFORMAT	TOWN 8 HO	
r plea: insert ert "D" for di IS ORDER! The defendation DATE 2 6/08 8 Sentence	ED: ant is a hall be Vear /09 (8) to ther. ant shal be count con salan e for p date ar mmendat any BOMI	enten execution Mos. 95 De Ber [X] Costs Exagment e sub- ion: RELE	ced ted in Days ved case notes t is ject ASED HE	o cus mmedi MAX. Years consecumbers 6/08 to a :	ASSAI or nol dismi tody ately IMOM Mos. 180 cutives GRAN 20% 1 BJECT HE	OLT INTENT D contendere, seed by proses of the Mic DATE SENTE BEGINS 6/08/0 ely to (if the ND TRAVERSE \$68.00 STATE \$60.00 CRIME TO COURT TO COURT	TO MAIM or "MI" for getter/plaintiff higan Depart NCE JAIL CE MOS. 1 Stem is not CIRCUIT CO MINIMUM COSTS VICTIM RIGHTS 8, and fees V on the amo	REDIT Days Ot checopurt	750.8 but mer of Co	orrects THER E Penting 0141 PH 02.00 PH 28.00 TO	NFORMAT	TOWN 8 HO	

CC 219b (3/08) JUDGMENT OF SENTENCE, COMMITMENT TO DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS
MCL 765.15(2), MCL 769.1k, MCL 769.16a, MCL 775.22, MCL 780.766, MCR 6.427

Deputy

of Corrections at a place designated by the department
(SEAL)